



Reviewer Evaluation Form

	1 Poor	2 Average	3 Good	Not Applicable
<p>• Topic suitability</p> <p>How well does the paper fit into the general scope of EurOMA conferences?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>• Research questions/objectives/hypotheses</p> <p>Are the research questions/objectives/hypotheses clearly stated?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>• Theoretical background</p> <p>Does the paper relate to what has already been written in the field, i.e. is the relevant literature recognized adequately?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>• Empirical background</p> <p>To what extent is the paper based on a managerial problem and/or grounded on empirical data?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>• Methodology</p> <p>Does the paper demonstrate rigor in the application of appropriate research methods, data collection, analysis and validation?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>• Presentation and discussion of results (achieved or expected)</p> <p>Are the (expected) findings clear and concise? Are the findings aligned with the stated research questions/objectives?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>• Contribution to OM theory, practice, methodology and/or teaching</p> <p>Does the paper promise to make a significant contribution to the field and is the contribution clear?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>• Suggestions to the author(s)</p>				